# Minutes of the Meeting: FAAPVI Special Board Meeting –July 21, 2026 –

**DATE AND LOCATION:** July 21, 2026 7:00PM via Microsoft Teams

**ATTENDEES:**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Doreene Enriquez | Sima Felina | Lorelei Hosmillo |
| Maria Louie | Jun Pioquinto | Amada Sison |
| Kristina Enriquez | Rocechel Cook | Jocelyn Forral |
| Nila Janson | Lorena Pioquinto | Shirley Antiporta |
| Ramon Canilao | Melizza Inocencio | |

## I. Call to Order

Board Chair Melizza called meeting to order

**Purpose of Special Meeting**

Melizza stated that the meeting was a continuation of the investigation into the fryer matter and alleged violations of the bylaws. She explained that written statements had been submitted by the involved parties and that the meeting would give them an opportunity to present their explanations verbally to the board.

**Statements from Involved Board Members**

Jun addressed the board and stated that there was no intent to steal, conceal, or personally benefit from the fryer and asked the board to focus on fairness, procedure, and reconciliation rather than punishment. Full written statement previously submitted and retained with the meeting record.

Amada stated that she believed she had acted to protect FAAPVI, and informed the board of the interest in the fryer. She acknowledged that the fryer was removed without prior authorization, apologized for the confusion, and supported improved authorization and documentation procedures. Full written statement previously submitted and retained with the meeting record.

Lorena stated that she understood Amada would present the matter to the board for approval and that this was the basis for her involvement. She acknowledged that removing the fryer from the building should have required prior board approval, accepted that this was a mistake, and apologized. Full written statement previously submitted and retained with the meeting record.

## II. Board Deliberation

Following the three presentations, the remaining board members continued in closed discussion. Melizza stated that, based on legal advice discussed earlier that day, the issue was not simply the fryer itself but the violations associated with removal of an organizational asset without prior approval.

- Melizza reported that the board had consulted attorney Hannah Montgomery and stated that the removal of the fryer from FAAPVI property without prior notification or approval had been accurately described in that discussion as theft of an organizational asset. She further stated that the matter raised concerns about conspiracy, conflicting accounts, omission of material information during the July 15 meeting, and possible violations of the duties of care, obedience, and loyalty owed by board members to the corporation.
- Lorelei reinforced that the fryer should be treated as an FAAPVI asset rather than merely as kitchen equipment and summarized the board-member duties discussed with counsel. She stated that the legal review also raised concerns regarding removal of the asset, potential financial benefit to others, and access to the building.
- Lorelei reminded the board that whistleblower protections apply and that retaliation against the individual who reported the asset issue would be prohibited. She emphasized that the organization had to act professionally and place duty to the corporation ahead of personal relationships.
- Melizza emphasized that her responsibility as chair was to protect the organization, its members, donors, and grant relationships and that transparency with the membership would be necessary once the board determined the next steps.
- One corrective option proposed was discussion was creation of a special ethics committee to review the matter and make recommendations. The proposed structure discussed during the meeting was a committee of board members and two impartial non-board members familiar with nonprofit regulations.
- Lorelei stated that the board should wait for the recommendations of the special ethics committee before deciding on any final resolution. She also noted that the membership might later exercise its rights under the bylaws if further action were recommended or requested.
- A special members meeting might be necessary so members could hear directly from the board rather than through rumor or outside discussion. Transparency was emphasized as part of the board's responsibility to

members, donors, and grantors. Intention does not override the board's legal responsibilities.

**III. Additional Discussion**

- Board members discussed whether the incident should be viewed as an honest mistake or as a serious nonprofit governance violation.
- Jocelyn raised concerns about intent, while Melizza and Lorelei responded that good intentions did not remove the board's duties regarding organizational assets and approval procedures.
- Nila expressed frustration with the repeated focus on bylaws and urged the board to put aside the bylaws and consider the human impact of the discussion, emphasizing volunteer service, personal dignity, and the need to avoid further division within the organization.
- Maria expressed concern that the prolonged dispute is taking focus away from our events, hopes for a more peaceful resolution, and stressed that the conflict itself was causing hurt within the organization.
- Ramon urged a constructive approach to the matter and later apologized for using inappropriate language during the discussion. Doreene clarified that certain prior expenditures, including the World Beat booth expense, had already been included within the annual budget previously approved by the board during the mini-strategic planning.
- Doreene stated that the board is disheartened by these circumstances, but the board needs to focus on professional accountability, selecting corrective action, and possible retraining rather than resorting personal attacks or immediate removal.

**IV. Next Steps**

It was that the investigation phase was concluded and that the next steps would include formation of the ethics committee, further review of nonprofit duties and responsibilities, possible retraining or seminars, and possible preparation for a special membership meeting. It was also discussed to revisit board-member duties again during the retreat and sharing relevant Oregon nonprofit guidance materials. No final disciplinary action was taken during this meeting.

Meeting Adjourned at 8:41pm

Submitted by:



Doreene Enriquez

Clarification Regarding My Participation in the Fryer Matter

Dr Jun Pioquinto <junpioquinto@gmail.com> Tue, Jul 21, 2026 at 6:03 AM
To: Melizza Inocencio <virkinc.tfm@gmail.com>, Lorelei Hosmillo <leihosmillo@msn.com>, Doreene Enriquez <doreene.enriquez@gmail.com>, FE Chel Cook <rocechel@yahoo.com>, Ammie Sison <ammiesison@yahoo.com>, Nila Janson <mrsphils2007@yahoo.com>, Jocelyn Forral <jocelyn.forral@gmail.com>, Shirley Antiporta <antiportashirley@gmail.com>, Ramon Canilao <ramoncanilao@gmail.com>, Sima Felina <jcad.felina@yahoo.com>, "louiemariae1957@gmail.com" <Louiemariae1957@gmail.com>, Joe Ramirez <jcramrz@aol.com>, Dr Jun Pioquinto <junpioquinto@gmail.com>, Doreene Enriquez <doreene.enriquez@filamportland.org>, "kristina.enriquez97@gmail.com" <kristina.enriquez97@gmail.com>

Dear Chairperson Melizza and Members of the Board,

I am writing to clarify my participation in the matter involving the old fryer and to correct any confusion arising from the July 19, 2026 meeting attended by Chairperson Melizza, Ammie, Lorena, and me.

During the meeting, it was stated that Lorena had used my access code to open the main door for Agnes and Manny, who wished to view the fryer. This raised concerns regarding the sharing of access codes and whether non-Board members entered the building without proper accompaniment. I would like to note that the building is being rented, and our customary procedure has been to provide renters with a code to enter the building without any Board member present.

Based on my present recollection, I personally opened the main door remotely at Lorena's request. At that time, I understood that Lorena was either on her way to the FAAPVI building or making a brief stop before arriving there. I do not recall giving her my access code for the main door. Lorena does not have the mobile application needed to unlock the main entrance remotely, and she may instead have been referring to the alarm code.

My understanding was that Agnes and Manny wanted to inspect the fryer because they might be interested in using or purchasing it. I did not understand this request as authorization to sell, donate, dispose of, or remove the fryer from the building. I did not participate in removing or transporting the fryer, and I did not receive any compensation, financial benefit, or personal advantage from the matter.

I offer this clarification so that the Board's review will be based on the most accurate information available and on my direct knowledge and current recollection. I apologize for any confusion caused by the earlier discussion.

I also respectfully suggest that FAAPVI establish clearer written procedures regarding building access by non-Board members and the inspection, evaluation, sale, donation, or removal of organizational property. Such procedures should clearly identify the required authorization, supervision, documentation, and Board approval to help prevent similar misunderstandings in the future.

I am concerned about the use of the word “conspiracy” by Melizza, FAAPVI Chairperson in connection with this matter at the meeting held on July 19, 2026 with Melizza, Ammie, Lorena and me. Conspiracy generally involves an agreement between two or more people to commit an unlawful or improper act. I had no agreement or intention to participate in any unlawful act involving the fryer.

I respectfully request that this written statement be included in the official meeting minutes and considered as part of the Board’s review. I remain willing to answer reasonable questions based on my direct knowledge and recollection.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully,

Dr. Jun Pioquinto

President, FAAPVI

Letter to FAAPVI Board of Directors Statement Regarding the FAAPVI Fryer

Amada Sison <ammiesison@yahoo.com> Tue, Jul 21, 2026 at 10:42 AM
To: virkinc.tfm@gmail.com, leihosmillo@msn.com, doreene.enriquez@gmail.com, rocechel@yahoo.com, ammiesison@yahoo.com, mrsphils2007@yahoo.com, jocelyn.forral@gmail.com, antiportashirley@gmail.com, ramoncanilao@gmail.com, jcad.felina@yahoo.com, louiemariae1957@gmail.com, jcramrz@aol.com, junpioquinto@gmail.com, Kristina Enriquez <kristinaenriquez97@gmail.com>, Lorena Pioquinto <lorenadpp@gmail.com>
To: FAAPVI Board of Directors
From: Amada E. Sison, Board Director and Former Auditor
Date: July 20, 2026
Subject: Statement Regarding the FAAPVI Fryer

Dear Chairperson and Members of the Board,

I have served FAAPVI since 2018, previously as Auditor and currently as a member of the Board of Directors. Throughout my service, I have remained committed to maintaining clean, honest, and accurate financial records and to protecting the assets of the Association.

On July 5, 2026, I was informed by another Director that the fryer had not been used for a considerable period of time and that its working condition was unknown. Agnes had expressed interest in the fryer. I suggested that it first be evaluated to determine whether it was still operational and that any proposed sale or transfer be presented to the Board for approval. I communicated this through our group chat on July 5, as reflected in Exhibit 1.

On July 6, Agnes and her husband picked up the fryer to test whether it was working. The fryer was released without prior written authorization from the Board because the intention was only to inspect, clean, and test the equipment. However, I recognize that the fryer should not have been removed from the FAAPVI premises without prior Board approval and proper documentation.

On July 7, I sent Chairperson Melizza a list of matters that I believed should be included in the next Board meeting agenda:

Approval regarding the possible sale or disposition of the fryer; and
Discussion regarding the unused ceiling camera.

These matters were not taken up during the regular meeting. An emergency meeting was later scheduled for July 15, 2026, at 7:00 p.m., concerning separate allegations involving the President.

During that meeting, I informed the Board that Agnes was interested in purchasing the fryer for $500 or, alternatively, providing a lechon for the FAAPVI Christmas party, subject to Board approval. Lorelei suggested that the fryer instead be retained for possible future use in FAAPVI's commercial kitchen.

On Friday, July 17, I informed Agnes that the fryer needed to be returned to FAAPVI. She was unable to return it immediately because her husband became ill. On July 20, 2026, Agnes returned the fryer to the FAAPVI building. It had been cleaned, tested, and was reported to be in good working condition. The fryer was received by the Building Manager.

In light of what transpired, we deeply regret that the fryer was removed without prior Board authorization. Although there was no intention to sell, donate, dispose of, or permanently transfer the fryer without approval, we acknowledge that proper procedures should have been followed before it was taken from the building.

We sincerely apologize to the Board and the members of the Association for the concern and confusion this incident caused. We assure everyone that this will not happen again and that proper authorization, documentation, and asset-control procedures will be followed moving forward.

I respectfully ask for the Board's understanding and forgiveness. We remain committed to working together in unity, transparency, and collaboration in service to FAAPVI and the community.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

Amada E. Sison

Clarification Regarding the Fryer

Lorena Pioquinto <lorenadpp@gmail.com>  Tue, Jul 21, 2026 at 8:54 AM
To: Inocencio Melizza <virkinc.tfm@gmail.com>, jun pioquinto <junpioquinto@gmail.com>, Nila Janson <mrsphils2007@yahoo.com>, Ammie Sison <ammiesison@yahoo.com>, Doreene Enriquez Cell <Doreene.enriquez@gmail.com>, Rocechel Cook <rocechel@yahoo.com>, Lorelei Hosmillo <leihosmillo@msn.com>, Jocelyn Forral <jocelyn.forral@gmail.com>, jose ramirez <jcramrz@aol.com>, Kristina Enriquez <Kristina.enriquez97@gmail.com>, Ramon Canilao <ramoncanilao@gmail.com>, "louiemariae1957@gmail com" <louiemariae1957@gmail.com>, Shirley Antiporta <antiportashirley@gmail.com>, Sima Felina <jcad.felina@yahoo.com>
Cc: Andre Amparo 9/2022 Amparo <andrei@riveramansions.com>
Dear Board Members,

I am writing to clarify parts of my statement during our recent meeting.

On July 6, Ammie told me that Agnes would inspect and clean the fryer to determine whether it was still working. I understood that Ammie would later present the matter and any proposed arrangement to the Board for approval. Because Ammie was familiar with the fryer's history, I assumed the proper process would be followed.

I also want to correct my statement regarding Jun's access code. After reflecting, I believe I confused the alarm code with the main-door access. I do not recall using Jun's code to open the main door. My present recollection is that I asked Jun to open the door remotely before I arrived at the building.

I acknowledge that I should have confirmed Board approval before the fryer was removed for evaluation. I also should have spoken up during the July 15 meeting when the fryer's location was discussed. I sincerely apologize for my poor judgment and for any confusion caused.

This statement reflects my honest present recollection. Moving forward, I will ensure that any action involving FAAPVI property is properly authorized and documented.

Respectfully,
Lorena Pioquinto
FAAPVI Board Member